

Q1 Should the following policies be included in the plan?

Answered: 213 Skipped: 6





	Yes	No	Total
TC1 - Providing flexibility to allow a wider variety of alternative uses for buildings in Market Street. See p.16 of the draft plan.	93.63% 191	6.37% 13	204
TC2 – Using S106 funding to enhance the vitality and viability of businesses in Market Street. See p.16 of the draft plan.	96.12% 198	3.88% 8	206
TC3 – Retaining the historic character of building frontages in Market Street and maintaining this character in any future alterations or renovations. See p.17 of the draft plan.	91.79% 190	8.21% 17	207
TC4 - Developing land between Market St, Victoria St and off Cardigan Place to enhance appearance and improve pedestrian/cycle links between these streets and Hednesford Park. See p.17 of the draft plan.	93.69% 193	6.31% 13	206
TC5 - Deliver environmental improvements to land between the southbound station platform and the adjoining car park including provision of additional parking and a taxi rank. See p.19 of the draft plan.	95.65% 198	4.35% 9	207
TC6 - Seek to extend the station car park situated between 6 and 30 Anglesey Street. See p.19 of the draft plan.	86.00% 172	14.00% 28	200
TC7(a) - Redevelopment of Cannock Rd/Station Rd (427-433) and Cannock Rd (437-445) and Station Rd (5-9 and 15/17) for residential purposes. See p.19 of the draft plan.	78.50% 157	21.50% 43	200
TC7(b)- Investigate the possibledevelopment of a retirement complex on the larger of these sites in conjunction with landowners.	72.86%	27.14% 54	199


	Very important	Important	Slightly important	Not important	No opinion	Tota
TC1 – Providing flexibility to allow a wider variety of alternative uses for buildings in Market Street. See p.16 of the draft plan.	28.57% 44	47.40% 73	17.53% 27	1.95% 3	4.55% 7	154
TC2 – Using S106 funding to enhance the vitality and viability of businesses in Market Street. See p.16 of the draft plan.	48.72% 76	37.82% 59	8.33% 13	1.92% 3	3.21% 5	150
TC3 – Retaining the historic character of building frontages in Market Street and maintaining this character in any future alterations or renovations. See p.17 of the draft plan.	59.24% 93	26.75% 42	7.64% 12	3.18% 5	3.18% 5	15
TC4 - Developing land between Market St, Victoria St and off Cardigan Place to enhance appearance and improve pedestrian/cycle links between these streets and Hednesford Park. See p.17 of the draft plan.	37.42% 58	36.13% 56	16.77% 26	5.81% 9	3.87% 6	15
rC5 - Deliver environmental improvements to land between the southbound station latform and the adjoining car park including provision of additional parking and a taxi ank. See p.19 of the draft plan.	40.38% 63	38.46% 60	16.03% 25	2.56% 4	2.56% 4	15
TC6 - Seek to extend the station car park situated between 6 and 30 Anglesey Street. See p.19 of the draft plan.	37.91% 58	27.45% 42	16.99% 26	12.42% 19	5.23% 8	15
TC7(a) - Redevelopment of Cannock Rd/Station Rd (427-433) and Cannock Rd (437-445) and Station Rd (5-9 and 15/17) for residential purposes. See p.19 of the draft plan.	21.94% 34	29.03% 45	26.45% 41	17.42% 27	5.16%	15
TC7(b)— Investigate the possibledevelopment of a retirement complex on the larger of these sites in conjunction with landowners. Any development would ensure the amenities of nearby residents are protected. See p.19 of the draft plan.	21.85% 33	26.49% 40	22.52% 34	19.87% 30	9.27% 14	15

Q2 Please use this space to add any further comments about Hednesford town centre policies:

Answered: 66 Skipped: 153

Q3 Do you agree or disagree that this policy should be included in the plan? See p.20 of the draft plan.






swer Choices	Responses	
Agree	95.70%	178
	4.30%	8
Disagree		186

Q4 How important do you think this policy is?






nswer Choices	Responses
	61.83%
Very important	31.72%
Important	3.23%
Slightly important	2.15%
Not important	1.08%
No opinion	
otal	

Q5 In relation to the maps within this policy (see appendix 6, p.44 - 66 of the draft plan) - are there any other areas of open space that you think should be protected?






nswer Choices	Responses	
	30.00%	48
Yes	70.00%	112
No otal		160

Q6 What improvements would you like to see in these open spaces?

Answered: 179 Skipped: 40




	Yes	No	Total
Tree planting	91.43% 160	8.57% 15	1
Fencing	53.19% 75	46.81% 66	1-
Play equipment	57.05%	42.95%	1


Q7 Please use this space to add any further comments about the Open Spaces policy:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 183

Q8 Should these policies be included in the plan?

Answered: 165 Skipped: 54






				Yes	No	Tota
BE1: To ensure buildings identified are retained, alterations respect their original characthe surroundings and helps secure the building for the long term. See p.27 of the draft p	cter, orany char olan.	nge of use is co	ompatible with	93.29% 153	6.71% 11	16
BE2: The area of Greenheath Road, Station Road and High Mount Street should be identified as an area of special local character. See p.27 of the draft plan.					18.13% 29	16
ease indicate how you rate the importance of each policy						
	Very important	Important	Slightly important	Not important	No opinion	Tota
	mportant				A 20000	
BE1: To ensure buildings identified are retained, alterations respect their original character, orany change of use is compatible with the surroundings and helps secure the building for the long term. See p.27 of the draft plan.	54.55% 78	25.87% 37	12.59% 18	5.59% 8	1.40% 2	14

Q9 Relating to policy BE1, do you agree with the list of buildings chosen? See p.41 of the draft plan.






swer Choices	Responses	
Agree	92.76%	141
	7.24%	11
Disagree		152

Q10 Are there any buildings you think shouldn't be included in the list?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 206

Q11 Relating to policy BE2, the Greenheath Road special character area, do you agree with the boundary of the area chosen?





nswer Choices	Responses	
Agree	89.44%	127
Disagree	10.56%	15
otal		142

Q12 Are there any buildings you think shouldn't be on the list?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 214


Q13 Please use this space to add any further comments about the Built Environment policies:

Answered: 17 Skipped: 202

Q14 Should this policy be included in the plan?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 70





				Yes	No	Tota
Policy H1 – Bungalows on major housing sites. Housing developments on sites of more than 25 units shall include a minimum of 10% bungalows for sale subject to issues relating to viability. See p.30 of the draft plan.						14
Policy H2 – Bungalows on small housing sites. Priority will be given to building of bung the SHLAA and on windfall sites, including disused garage courts. See p.30 of the draft	83.80% 119	16.20% 23	14:			
Policy H3 – Retirement developments. The construction of an Extra Care or market retired in Town Centre Policy TC7. See p.31 of the draft plan for map.	76.22% 109	23.78% 34	14			
ase indicate how you would rate the importance of each policy						
				Not	No	Tota
	Very important	Important	Slightly important	important	opinion	
Policy H1 – Bungalows on major housing sites. Housing developments on sites of more than 25 units shall include a minimum of 10% bungalows for sale subject to issues relating to viability. See p.30 of the draft plan.	3.0	26.92% 35	N 50 5		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	13


SurveyMonkey

Have your say on the future of Hednesford

Policy H3 – Retirement developments. The construction of an Extra Care or market retirement complex will be supported on land identified in Town Centre Policy TC7.	33.33% 43	24.81% 32	19.38% 25	17.05% 22	5.43% 7	129
See p.31 of the draft plan for map.						

Q15 Do you think that either an Extra Care or market retirement complex should be built on the land at Cannock Road identified in Policy TC7?





	Responses	
Answer Choices	65.94%	91
Yes	34.06%	47
No		138
Total		

Q16 Are there any other specific types of housing which you think should be built in the area?


Answered: 35 Skipped: 184

Q17 Please use this space to add any further comments about the Housing Development policies:

Answered: 27 Skipped: 192


Q18 Do you agree or disagree that this policy should be included in the plan?






swer Choices	Responses	
	89.19%	132
Agree	10.81%	16
Disagree		148

Q19 How important do you think this policy is?





Obsilee	Responses	
swer Choices	27.89%	41
Very important	43.54%	64
Important	18.37%	27
Slightly important		8
Not important	5.44%	,
No opinion	4.76%	
tal		147

Q20 Are there any other policies relating to business parks/industrial estates which you think should be included in the plan?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 200

Q21 Please use this space to add any further comments about the Industrial/Business Parks policy:


Answered: 12 Skipped: 207

Q22 Do you have any further comments on the contents of the draft Neighbourhood Plan for Hednesford?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 197

Q23 Age


Answered: 147 Skipped: 72



nswer Choices	Responses	
	5.44%	}
18-24	14.97%	23
25-34	24.49%	3
35-44	21.77%	3
45-54	33.33%	4
55+	33.3376	14
otal		

Q24 Gender


Answered: 145 Skipped: 74



	Responses	
nswer Choices	40.00%	58
Male	60.00%	87
Female		145
Fotal		

Q25 Employment status






swer Choices	Responses	
Employed Full-Time	45.83%	66
	11.81%	17
Employed Part-Time	13.19%	19
Self Employed	4.17%	
Unemployed	1.39%	
Student	23.61%	3-
Retired		14
tal		

Q26 Ethnicity

Answered: 105 Skipped: 114

Q27 Registered disabled

Answered: 142 Skipped: 77



answer Choices	Responses	
	7.04%	10
Yes	92.96%	132
No		142
Cotal Cotal		